Diabetes Care e1





Differences Between Randomized Clinical Trial Patients and Real-World Initiators of the Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonist Liraglutide

https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-0999

Jakob Schöllhammer Knudsen,¹
Reimar Wernich Thomsen,¹
Anton Pottegård,² Filip Krag Knop,^{3,4}
and Henrik Toft Sørensen¹

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are considered the gold standard for determining efficacy and safety of new drugs. Successful randomization addresses known and unknown confounding when assessing a drug's effect among trial patients selected on strict inclusion and exclusion criteria (1). However, treatment results have been shown on occasion to be much less favorable than expected outside trial populations, often related to differences in age, comorbidity, disease severity, drug compliance, and/or comedication among patients treated in everyday clinical practice (1). The risk of adverse drug effects may also be higher among patients treated in routine clinical care.

Liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist, was quickly adopted by clinicians following its approval by the European Medicines Agency in 2009 and by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in 2010. Approval was based on a number of phase III RCTs called the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes (LEAD) 1–5 trials (2).

We used data from Danish population-based medical databases to examine whether routine clinical care liraglutide initiators would have been eligible for participation in the phase III trials. Furthermore, their ${\rm HbA_{1c}}$ reduction on

liraglutide was evaluated. We included all individuals who lived in northern Denmark and redeemed a first-time liraglutide prescription from 2009–2015 (n =9,251). We adapted each LEAD 1-5 trial eligibility criterion (such as age, comorbid conditions, current drug use, HbA_{1c} level, etc.) to the Danish National Patient Registry, the Danish Prescription Registry, and the clinical laboratory information system, as appropriate (Table 1) (3). Exclusion criteria were largely similar in the LEAD 1-5 trials, and we used only exclusion criteria that were shared in all five trials. When exact information was unavailable in our databases (i.e., BMI and blood pressure), we assumed that patients would be eligible for trial participation.

Routine clinical care liraglutide users frequently had comorbidities that would have made them ineligible for the LEAD 1–5 trials, including "clinically significant cardiovascular disease" (29%) or "other significant disease" (11%) (Table 1). Further, 27% had HbA_{1c} levels outside the values needed for inclusion in the LEAD 1–5 trials, and 37% were on current insulin, another exclusion criterion in the LEAD 1–5 trials. Overall, 73% of all real-world liraglutide users would have been ineligible for any of the LEAD trials (Table 1). Approved indications expanded

during 2009–2015 allowing for liraglutide therapy together with other glucose-lowering drug regimens (e.g., with insulin or as monotherapy) and a beneficial liraglutide effect in patients with cardiovascular disease emerged shortly after our study period (4). When we disregarded both previous glucose-lowering drug use and pre-existing cardiovascular disease as exclusion criteria, we found that 45% of real-world users would have been ineligible for RCT participation.

Overall, patients ineligible for LEAD 1–5 participation had a higher HbA_{1c} before initiating liraglutide (8.7% [72 mmol/mol])) than eligible patients (8.4% [68 mmol/mol]) (Table 1) but experienced similar HbA_{1c} reductions after 6 months (-1.0% [-11 mmol/mol] vs. -0.9% [-10 mmol/mol]).

We found that liraglutide users treated in clinical care settings in northern Denmark did not resemble patients included in the LEAD 1–5 trials, with almost three out of four routine clinical care initiators being classified as ineligible for the RCTs. Nevertheless, our findings suggest that the efficacy of liraglutide on HbA_{1c} seen in the LEAD trials translates into realworld effectiveness, both for eligible and noneligible patients. The LEAD 1–5 trials thus found similar reductions in HbA_{1c}

Corresponding author: Jakob Schöllhammer Knudsen, jsk@clin.au.dk.

Received 7 May 2018 and accepted 5 June 2018.

¹Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

²Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacy, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

³Clinical Metabolic Physiology, Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen, University of Copenhagen, Gentofte, Denmark

⁴Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Real-world patients that would have been excluded liraglutide initiation N	Table 1-Real-world liraglutide initiators that would have	would have be	en exclude	d from particip	ation in the Ll	been excluded from participation in the LEAD 1–5 trials and their ${\sf HbA}_{1c}$ reduction	their HbA _{1c} red	uction	
owing 6,768 73.2 8.7 (8.5, 8.6) 70 (70, 70) owing 6,768 73.2 8.7 (8.7, 8.7) 72 (72, 72) erapy for <3 months 1,051 11.4 8.8 (8.7, 8.9) 73 (73, 74) 8 0.1 8.6 (6.0, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 147 1.6 8.5 (8.2, 8.7) 69 (66, 72) 3,414 36.9 8.8 (8.7, 8.8) 73 (73, 81) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 286 0.9 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 72) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 72) 286 28.6 (8.8, 8.8) 70 (68, 72) 295 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 256 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 266 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 266 9.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69) 27 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69) 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Exclusion criteria for participation in	Real-world pay would have been based on each	tients that en excluded h criterion	Mean (95% CI) liraglutide	HbA _{1c} before initiation	Mean (95% CI) $$ HbA $_{1c}$ $$ 6 months after liraglutide initiation	bA _{1c} 6 months de initiation	Mean (95% CI) HbA _{1c} reduction	1bA _{1c} reduction
9,251 100 8.6 (8.6, 8.6) 70 (70, 70) owing 6,768 73.2 8.7 (8.7, 8.7) 72 (72, 72) 72 (72, 72) 73.2 8.2 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) 74 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) 8.4 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) 8.4 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) 8.4 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) 8.4 (8.2, 8.3) 73 (73, 74) 8.5 (9.1, 11) 70 (42, 98) 8.4 (9.2, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 8.4 (9.2, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 8.4 (9.2, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 8.4 (9.2, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 8.4 (9.2, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 8.4 (9.2, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 70 (69, 74) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 70 (69, 74) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 70 (69, 74) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 70 (69, 74) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 70 (68, 70) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 70 (68, 70) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 9.2 (9.8, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 9.2 (9.6, 9.1) 74	LEAD 1–5 trials	и	%	%	mmol/mol	%	mmol/mol	%	lom/lomm
owing 6,768 73.2 8.7 (8.7, 8.7) 72 (72, 72) 8.1 following 2,583 26.9 8.4 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) 8.1 following 2,583 26.9 8.4 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) 8.1 following 2,522 27.3 9.1 (9.0, 9.2) 76 (75, 77) 8 0.1 8.6 (6.0, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 147 1.6 8.5 (8.2, 8.7) 69 (66, 72) 8.2 (8.2, 8.7) 8.6 (6.0, 72) 1.3 (8.2, 8.7) 8.6 (9.5, 8.8) 73 (72, 73) 8.2 (8.2, 8.8) 73 (72, 73) 8.2 (8.2, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 7.7 (9.2) 1.2 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 1.2 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	All patients	9,251	100	8.6 (8.6, 8.6)	70 (70, 70)	7.6 (7.6, 7.7)	60 (60, 61)	-1.0 (-1.0, -0.9)	-11 (-11, -10)
Frollowing 2,583 26.9 8.4 (8.3, 8.4) 68 (67, 68) rapy for <3 months 1,051 11.4 8.8 (8.7, 8.9) 73 (73, 74) 74) 75.22 27.3 9.1 (9.0, 9.2) 76 (75, 77) 8 0.1 8.6 (6.0, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 74,14 36.9 8.8 (8.7, 8.8) 73 (72, 73) 86 0.9 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 77 (73, 81) 77 (73, 81) 72 (70, 72) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (8.8, 9.6) 72 (9.8, 9.2) 72 (9.	Excluded for any of the following	6,768	73.2	8.7 (8.7, 8.7)	72 (72, 72)	7.7 (7.7, 7.7)	61 (61, 61)	-1.0 (-1.0, -0.9)	-11 (-11, -10)
And the state of a months and the state of t	Not excluded for any of the following	2,583	26.9	8.4 (8.3, 8.4)	68 (67, 68)	7.5 (7.4, 7.5)	58 (57, 58)	-0.9 (-1.0, -0.9)	-10 (-11, -10)
2,522 27.3 9.1 (9.0, 9.2) 76 (75, 77) 8 0.1 8.6 (6.0, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 147 1.6 8.5 (8.2, 8.7) 69 (66, 72) 3,414 36.9 8.8 (8.7, 8.8) 73 (72, 73) 86 0.9 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 395 4.3 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 326 28.6 8.7 (8.6, 8.7) 72 (70, 72) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) with glucose 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Ongoing noninsulin GLD therapy for <3 months	1,051	11.4	8.8 (8.7, 8.9)	73 (73, 74)	7.7 (7.6, 7.8)	61 (60, 62)	$-1.1 \ (-1.2, \ -1.0)$	-12 (-13, -11)
8 0.1 8.6 (6.0, 11.1) 70 (42, 98) 147 1.6 8.5 (8.2, 8.7) 69 (66, 72) 3,414 36.9 8.8 (8.7, 8.8) 73 (72, 73) 86 0.9 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 395 4.3 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 70) 48 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 246 2.8 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	HbA _{1c} level outside range*	2,522	27.3	9.1 (9.0, 9.2)	76 (75, 77)	7.8 (7.7, 7.9)	62 (61, 63)	-1.3 (-1.4, -1.2)	-14 (-16, -13)
3,414 36.9 8.8 (8.7, 8.8) 73 (72, 73) 86 0.9 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 395 4.3 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 326 28.6 8.7 (8.6, 8.7) 72 (70, 72) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) with glucose 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Age $<$ 18 years	∞	0.1	8.6 (6.0, 11.1)	70 (42, 98)	6.7 (-1.0, 14.4)	50 (<0, 134)	-2.5 (-16.3, 11.3)	-28 (-155, 100)
3,414 36.9 8.8 (8.7, 8.8) 73 (72, 73) 86 0.9 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 395 4.3 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 70 5,646 28.6 8.7 (8.6, 8.7) 72 (70, 72) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) 889 4.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 66 (64, 75) 66 (64,	Age >80 years	147	1.6	8.5 (8.2, 8.7)	69 (66, 72)	7.6 (7.4, 7.8)	60 (57, 62)	-0.9 (-1.1, -0.6)	-10 (-12, -7)
86 0.9 9.2 (8.8, 9.6) 77 (73, 81) 27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 395 4.3 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 326 28.6 8.7 (8.6, 8.7) 72 (70, 72) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) with glucose 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Current insulin treatment	3,414	36.9	8.8 (8.7, 8.8)	73 (72, 73)	8.00 (7.9, 8.0)	64 (63, 64)	-0.8 (-0.8, -0.7)	-9 (-8)
27 0.3 9.1 (8.5, 9.7) 76 (69, 82) 395 4.3 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 2,646 28.6 8.7 (8.6, 8.7) 72 (70, 72) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) with glucose 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Impaired liver function	98	6.0	9.2 (8.8, 9.6)	77 (73, 81)	7.7 (7.3, 8.0)	61 (56, 64)	-1.7 (-2.1, -1.2)	-19 (-23, -13)
395 4.3 8.6 (8.5, 8.8) 70 (69, 74) 2,646 28.6 8.7 (8.6, 8.7) 72 (70, 72) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 72) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) with glucose 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) seeding or pregnant 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Hepatitis B or C positive	27	0.3	9.1 (8.5, 9.7)	76 (69, 82)	8.5 (7.6, 9.3)	69 (60, 78)	-0.6 (-1.3, 0.1)	-7 (-14, 1)
VD 2,646 28.6 8.7 (8.6, 8.7) 72 (70, 72) 326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 72) with glucose 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) reeding or pregnant 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Impaired renal function	395	4.3	8.6 (8.5, 8.8)	70 (69, 74)	7.7 (7.6, 7.8)	61 (60, 62)	-0.9 (-1.0, -0.7)	-10 (-11, -8)
326 3.5 8.5 (8.4, 8.7) 69 (68, 72) 1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) with glucose 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) reeding or pregnant 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Clinically significant active CVD	2,646	28.6	8.7 (8.6, 8.7)	72 (70, 72)	7.7 (7.7, 7.8)	61 (61, 62)	-0.9 (-1.0, -0.9)	-10 (-11, -10)
1,029 11.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.6) 70 (68, 70) 46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) with glucose 439 4.2 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) reeding or pregnant 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Cancer	326	3.5	8.5 (8.4, 8.7)	69 (68, 72)	7.6 (7.5, 7.8)	60 (58, 62)	-0.9 (-1.1, -0.8)	-10 (-12, -10)
46 0.5 8.5 (8.0, 9.0) 69 (64, 75) 439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Clinically significant disease	1,029	11.2	8.6 (8.4, 8.6)	70 (68, 70)	7.6 (7.5, 7.7)	60 (58, 61)	$-1.0 \ (-1.1, \ -1.0)$	-11 (-12, -11)
439 4.8 8.6 (8.4, 8.7) 70 (68, 72) 389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Recurrent hypoglycemia	46	0.5	8.5 (8.0, 9.0)	69 (64, 75)	8.1 (7.7, 8.5)	65 (61, 69)	-0.5 (-0.9, 0.0)	-6 (-10, 0)
389 4.2 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Use of drugs that interfere with glucose	439	4.8	8.6 (8.4, 8.7)	70 (68, 72)	7.5 (7.4, 7.6)	58 (57, 60)	-1.0 (-1.2, -0.9)	-11 (-13, -10)
246 2.6 8.9 (8.6, 9.1) 74 (70, 76) 25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Alcohol or substance abuse	389	4.2	8.9 (8.6, 9.1)	74 (70, 76)	7.8 (7.6, 7.9)	62 (60, 63)	-1.1 (-1.3, -0.9)	-12 (-14, -10)
25 0.3 7.8 (7.1, 8.5) 62 (54, 69)	Mental incapacity	246	5.6	8.9 (8.6, 9.1)	74 (70, 76)	7.8 (7.5, 8.0)	62 (58, 64)	-1.1 (-1.4, -0.9)	-12 (-14, -10)
	Current/intention of breastfeeding or pregnant	25	0.3	7.8 (7.1, 8.5)	62 (54, 69)	7.1 (6.5, 7.7)	54 (48, 61)	-0.9 (-1.5, 0.2)	-10 (-17, 2)

Among 9,251 real-world initiators of liraglutide in northern Denmark. Exclusion criteria as present in all LEAD 1–5 studies. CVD, cardiovascular disease; GLD, glucose-lowering drugs. *Last measured HbA_{1c} outside 7–11% (53–97 mmol/mol)/7–10% (53–86 mmol/mol) range among patients receiving no/monotherapy/combination noninsulin glucose-lowering drug prescriptions before liraglutide initiation.

care.diabetesjournals.org Knudsen and Associates e3

after 6 months (12 months in LEAD 3): between -0.8% (-9 mmol/mol) (LEAD 3) and -1.5% (-17 mmol/mol) (LEAD 4). However, our findings also underscore the importance of postmarketing observational studies based on real-world data. Although subsequent RCTs and the current study have established the efficacy of liraglutide in patients ineligible for the LEAD 1–5 trials, safety data are needed for patients with common comorbidities.

Funding. Aarhus University funded the study. **Duality of Interest.** A.P. has received funding from Novo Nordisk for unrelated projects, with funding paid to his institution (no personal fees).

F.K.K. has served on scientific advisory panels and/or been part of speakers' bureaus for, served as a consultant to, and/or received research support from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Gubra, Medlmmune, Merck Sharp & Dohme/Merck, Norgine, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, and Zealand Pharma. None of the authors received support from any organization for the submitted work. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author Contributions. J.S.K., R.W.T., A.P., and F.K.K. designed the study. J.S.K. reviewed the literature. J.S.K., R.W.T., A.P., and H.T.S. directed the analyses. All authors participated in the discussion and interpretation of the results. J.S.K. organized the writing and wrote the initial draft. All authors critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version. R.W.T. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all of the data in the

study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

- 1. Sørensen HT, Lash TL, Rothman KJ. Beyond randomized controlled trials: a critical comparison of trials with nonrandomized studies. Hepatology 2006;44:1075–1082
- 2. Bode BW. Design, findings and implications of the liraglutide Phase III clinical trial program. Clin Investig (Lond) 2012;2:59–72
- 3. Pottegård A, Schmidt SAJ, Wallach-Kildemoes H, Sørensen HT, Hallas J, Schmidt M. Data resource profile: the Danish national prescription registry. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46:798–798f
- 4. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al.; LEADER Steering Committee; LEADER Trial Investigators. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016;375: 311–322